arXiv:1412.0323v1 [math.SP] 1 Dec 2014

A lower bound for the sum of the two largest signless Laplacian eigenvalues

Leonardo de Lima*† and Carla Oliveira‡§

Abstract

Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 3$ with sequence degree given as $d_1(G) \geq \ldots \geq d_n(G)$ and let $\mu_1(G), \ldots, \mu_n(G)$ and $q_1(G), \ldots, q_n(G)$ be the Laplacian and signless Laplacian eigenvalues of G arranged in non increasing order, respectively. Here, we consider the Grone's inequality [R. Grone, Eigenvalues and degree sequences of graphs, Lin. Multilin. Alg. 39 (1995) 133–136]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_i(G) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i(G) + 1$$

and prove that for $k = 2$, the equality holds if and only if G is the star graph S_n. The signless Laplacian version of Grone's inequality is known to be true when $k = 1$. In this paper, we prove that it is also true for $k = 2$, that is,

$$q_1(G) + q_2(G) \geq d_1(G) + d_2(G) + 1$$

with equality if and only if G is the star S_n or the complete graph K_3. When $k \geq 3$, we show a counterexample.

Keywords: *signless Laplacian; Laplacian; two largest eigenvalues; sequence degree; lower bound.*

AMS classification: *05C50, 05C35*

1 Introduction and main results

Define $G = (V, E)$ as a finite simple graph on n vertices. The sequence degree of G is denoted by $d(G) = (d_1(G), d_2(G), \ldots, d_n(G))$ such that $d_1(G) \geq d_2(G) \geq \ldots \geq d_n(G)$. Write A for the adjacency matrix of G and let D be the diagonal matrix of the row-sums of A, i.e., the degrees of G.

*Department of Production Engineering, Federal Center of Technological Education, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; *email: llima@cefet-rj.br, leolima.geos@gmail.com*

†Research supported by CNPq Grant 305867/2012–1 and FAPERJ 102.218/2013.

‡Department of Mathematical and Estatistics, National School of Statistics, Rio de Janeiro RJ, Brazil ; *email: carla.oliveira@ibge.gov.br*

§Research supported by CNPq Grant 305454/2012–9.

The matrix $L(G) = A - D$ is called the *Laplacian* or the L-matrix of G and the matrix $Q(G) = A + D$ is called the *signless Laplacian* or the Q-matrix of G. As usual, we shall index the eigenvalues of $L(G)$ and $Q(G)$ in non-increasing order and denote them as $\mu_1(G) \geq \mu_2(G) \geq \ldots \geq \mu_n(G)$ and $q_1(G) \geq q_2(G) \geq \ldots \geq q_n(G)$, respectively. We denote the following graphs on n vertices: the complete graph K_n; the star S_n and the complete bipartite graph K_{n_1,n_2}, such that $n_1 \geq n_2$ and $n = n_1 + n_2$.

The main result of this paper is about to the sum of the two largest $Q-$eigenvalues of a graph. There is quite a few papers on that subject and a contribution to this area have been made very recently by Ashraf *et al.*, [1], Oliveira *et al.*, [8], and Li and Tian, [7]. An useful result to the area was obtained by Schur [9] as the following:

Theorem 1 (Schur's inequality, [9]) *Let A be a real symmetric matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n$ and diagonal elements $t_1 \geq \ldots \geq t_n$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} t_i$.*

In 1994, Grone and Merris, [4], proved that $\mu_1(G) \geq d_1(G) + 1$ with equality if and only if there exists a vertex of G with degree $n - 1$. Based on Schur's inequality, Grone, in [5], proved a more general bound to the Laplacian eigenvalues related to the sum of the vertex degrees:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_i \geq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i. \tag{1}$$

Considering Grone's inequality, [5], we proved that the extremal graph to the case $k = 2$ is the star graph S_n. Hence, we state the equality conditions to the Grone's inequality when $k = 2$ as presented in the following theorem.

Theorem 2 *Let G be a simple connected graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then*

$$\mu_1(G) + \mu_2(G) \geq d_1(G) + d_2(G) + 1$$

with equality if and only if G is a star S_n.

The signless Laplacian version of Grone's inequality could be stated as the following:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} q_i(G) \geq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i(G). \tag{2}$$

Motivated by Grone's inequality, we considered to study whether the signless Laplacian version of the inequality (1) is true. The case $k = 1$ has been proved in the literature (see Lemma 5 in Section 2). For $k \geq 3$, we show a counterexample such that inequality (2) is not true when G is the star graph plus one edge.

The main result of this paper proves that inequality (2) is true for $k = 2$ as stated by the next theorem.

Theorem 3 *Let G be a simple connected graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then*

$$q_1(G) + q_2(G) \geq d_1(G) + d_2(G) + 1.$$

Equality holds if and only if G is one of the following graphs: the complete graph K_3 or a star S_n.

The paper is organized such that preliminary results are presented in the next section and the main proofs are in the Section 3.

2 Preliminary results

Define the graph S_n^+ as the graph obtained from a star S_n plus an edge. We introduce the paper showing that inequality (2) does not hold for $k \geq 3$.

Proposition 4 *Let G be isomorphic to S_n^+. For $k \geq 3$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} q_i(G) < 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i(G).$$

Proof Let G be isomorphic to S_n^+. In this case, $d_1(G) = n-1, d_2(G) = d_3(G) = 2$ and $d_4(G) = \ldots = d_n(G) = 1$. From Oliveira *et al.* [8], we have $q_3(G) = \ldots = q_{n-1}(G) = 1$ and also

$$\begin{aligned} n < \quad & q_1(G) < \quad n + \frac{1}{n} \\ 3 - \frac{2.5}{n} < \quad & q_2(G) < \quad 3 - \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

From Das in [3], $q_n(G) < d_n(G)$ and then we get

$$0 \leq \quad q_n(G) < \quad 1.$$

Since for $k \geq 3$,

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i(G) = n + k + 1$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} q_i(G) < n + k + 1,$$

then the result follows. □

The following two results are important to our purpose here.

Lemma 5 ([2]) *Let G be a connected graph on $n \geq 4$ vertices. Then,*

$$q_1(G) \geq d_1(G) + 1$$

with equality if and only if G is the star S_n.

Lemma 6 ([3]) *Let G be a graph. Then*

$$q_2(G) \geq d_2(G) - 1.$$



Figure 1: Graph $\mathcal{H}(p,r,s)$.

From Lemmas 5 and 6, it is straightforward that $q_1(G) + q_2(G) \geq d_1(G) + d_2(G)$. Here, we improved that lower bound to $d_1(G) + d_2(G) + 1$ and in order to prove it we need to define the class of graphs $\mathcal{H}(p,r,s)$ that is obtained from $2K_1 \vee \overline{K_p}$ with additional r and s pendant vertices to the vertices u and v with largest and second largest degree, respectively (see Figure 1).

The Propositions 7 and 8 will be useful to prove Theorem 3 and both present a lower bound to $q_2(G)$ within the family $\mathcal{H}(p,r,s)$.

Proposition 7 *For $p \geq 1$ and $r \geq s \geq 1$, let G be a graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(p,r,s)$. Then*

$$q_2(G) > d_2(G).$$

Proof For $p \geq 1$, $r \geq s \geq 1$, consider G as the graph isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(p,r,s)$. Labeling the vertices in a convenient way, we get

$$Q(G) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} p+r & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{1\times p} & \mathbf{1}_{1\times r} & \mathbf{1}_{1\times s} \\ \hline 0 & p+s & \mathbf{1}_{1\times p} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times r} & \mathbf{1}_{1\times s} \\ \hline \mathbf{1}_{p\times 1} & \mathbf{1}_{p\times 1} & 2\mathbf{I}_{p\times p} & \mathbf{0}_{p\times r} & \mathbf{0}_{p\times s} \\ \hline \mathbf{1}_{r\times 1} & \mathbf{0}_{r\times 1} & \mathbf{0}_{r\times p} & \mathbf{I}_{r\times r} & \mathbf{0}_{r\times s} \\ \hline \mathbf{0}_{s\times 1} & \mathbf{1}_{s\times 1} & \mathbf{0}_{s\times p} & \mathbf{0}_{s\times r} & \mathbf{I}_{s\times s} \end{array}\right).$$

Observe that $\mathbf{x}_j = e_3 - e_j$, for $j = 4, \ldots, p+2$ are eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalue 2 which has multiplicity at least $p-1$. Also, let us define $\mathbf{y}_j = e_{p+3} - e_j$ for each $j = p+4, \ldots, p+r+2$ and $\mathbf{z}_j = e_{p+r+3} - e_j$ for each $j = p+r+4, \ldots, p+r+s+2$. Observe that $\mathbf{y}_j$ and $\mathbf{z}_j$ are eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalue 1 with multiplicity at least $r+s-2$. In all the cases the others 5 eigenvalues are the same of the reduced matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} p+r & 0 & p & r & 0 \\ 0 & p+s & p & 0 & s \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of M is given by $f(x,p,r,s) = x^5 - (s+r+p+6)x^4 + ((r+p+3)s + (p+3)r + p^2 + 6p + 5)x^3 + ((-2r-p-4)s + (-2p-2)r - 2p^2 - 6p - 2)x^2 + ((s+r)p + p^2 + 2p)x$.

Considering $r = s + k$, where $k \geq 0$ note that $f(d_2(G), p, s + k, s) = f(p + s, p, s + k, s) = (s+p)(ks^2+s^2+2kps+2p-2ks-2s+kp^2-kp) > 0$. As $f(0, p, r, s) < 0$, if we take $q_2(G) < y < q_1(G)$, then $f(y, p, r, s) < 0$. So, since $f(d_2(G), p, r, s) > 0$ and from Lemma 6, we get $q_2(G) > d_2(G)$.

□

Proposition 8 *For $p \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$, let G be a graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(p, r, 0)$. Then*

$$q_2(G) \geq d_2(G).$$

Equality holds if and only if $G = P_4$.

Proof For $p, r \geq 1$, consider G as the graph isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(p, r, 0)$. Labeling the vertices in a convenient way, we get

$$Q(G) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} p+r & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{1\times p} & \mathbf{1}_{1\times r} \\ \hline 0 & p & \mathbf{1}_{1\times p} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times r} \\ \hline \mathbf{1}_{p\times 1} & \mathbf{1}_{p\times 1} & 2\mathbf{I}_{p\times p} & \mathbf{0}_{p\times r} \\ \hline \mathbf{1}_{r\times 1} & \mathbf{0}_{r\times 1} & \mathbf{0}_{r\times p} & \mathbf{I}_{r\times r} \end{array}\right).$$

If $p = r = 1$, then $q_2(G) = d_2(G) = 2$. If $p \geq 1$ and $r \geq 2$, observe that $\mathbf{x}_j = e_3 - e_j$, for $j = 4, \ldots, p+2$ are eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalue 2 which has multiplicity at least $p-1$.

Let us define $\mathbf{y}_j = e_{p+3} - e_j$ for each $j = p + 4, \ldots, p + r + 2$. Observe that $\mathbf{y}_j$ are eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalue 1 with multiplicity at least $r-1$. The others 4 eigenvalues are the same of the reduced matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} p+r & 0 & p & r \\ 0 & p & p & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of M is given by $f(x, p, r) = x^4 + (-r-2p-3)x^3 + ((p+2)r + p^2 + 4p + 2)x^2 + (-pr - p^2 - 2p)x$. As $f(-1, p, r) > 0$, if we take $q_2(G) < y < q_1(G)$, then $f(y, p, r) < 0$.

Note that $f(d_2(G), p, r) = f(p, p, r) = rp^2 > 0$. Therefore, from Lemma 6, we have $q_2(G) > d_2(G)$. So $q_2(G) \geq d_2(G)$ with equality if and only if $G = P_4$.

□

Let $\mathcal{G}(p, r, s)$ be the graph isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(p, r, s)$ plus the edge (u, v), see Figure 2. The next proposition shows that Theorem 3 is true for the family $\mathcal{G}(0, r, s)$.

Proposition 9 *For $r, s \geq 1$, let G be isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}(0, r, s)$. Then,*

$$q_1(G) + q_2(G) > d_1(G) + d_2(G) + 1.$$



Figure 2: Graph $\mathcal{G}(p,r,s)$.

Proof For $r,s \geq 1$, let G be the graph isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}(0,r,s)$. Labeling the vertices of G in a convenient way, we get

$$Q(G) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} r+1 & 1 & \mathbf{1}_{1\times r} & \mathbf{1}_{1\times s} \\ \hline 1 & s+1 & \mathbf{0}_{1\times r} & \mathbf{1}_{1\times s} \\ \hline \mathbf{1}_{r\times 1} & \mathbf{0}_{r\times 1} & \mathbf{I}_{r\times r} & \mathbf{0}_{r\times s} \\ \hline \mathbf{0}_{s\times 1} & \mathbf{1}_{s\times 1} & \mathbf{0}_{s\times r} & \mathbf{I}_{s\times s} \end{array}\right).$$

Let us define $\mathbf{y}_j = e_3 - e_j$ for each $j = 4,\ldots,r+2$, and $\mathbf{z}_j = e_{r+3} - e_j$ for each $j = r+4,\ldots,r+s+2$. Observe that $\mathbf{y}_j$ and $\mathbf{z}_j$ are eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalue 1 with multiplicity at least $r+s-2$. The others 4 eigenvalues are the same of the reduced matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} r+1 & 1 & r & 0 \\ 1 & s+1 & 0 & s \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of M is given by $f(x,r,s) = x^4 + (-r-s-4)x^3 + ((r+2)s + 2r+5)x^2 + (-r-s-2)x$. As $f(0,r,s) > 0$, if we take $q_2(G) < y < q_1(G)$, then $f(y,r,s) < 0$. Since $d_2(G) = s+1$, we get $f(d_2(G),r,s) = s(s+1)(r-s) \geq 0$ which implies $q_2(G) \geq d_2(G)$. From the equality conditions of Lemma 5, $q_1(G) > d_1(G)+1$ and the result follows. □

Next, in the Proposition 10, we present some bounds to $q_1(G)$ and $q_2(G)$ when G is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}(p,r,s)$ for $p \geq 1$, $r \geq s \geq 1$.

Proposition 10 *For $p \geq 1$, $r \geq s \geq 1$, let G be a graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices and isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}(p,r,s)$. Then*

(i) if $p = 1$ and $r = s$, then $q_1(G) > d_1(G) + \frac{3}{2}$ and $q_2(G) > d_2(G) - \frac{1}{2}$;

(ii) if $p \geq 2$ and $r = s$, then $q_1(G) > d_1(G) + 2$;

(iii) if $p \geq 1$ and $r \geq s+3$, then $q_2(G) > d_2(G)$;

(iv) if $p \geq 1$ and $r \in \{s+1, s+2\}$, then $q_1(G) > d_1(G) + 1 + \frac{p}{n}$ and $q_2(G) > d_2(G) - \frac{p}{n}$.

Proof For $p \geq 1$, $r \geq s \geq 1$, let G be isomorphic to the graph $\mathcal{G}(p,q,r)$. Labeling the vertices in a convenient way, we get

$$Q(G) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} p+r+1 & 1 & \mathbf{1}_{1\times p} & \mathbf{1}_{1\times r} & \mathbf{1}_{1\times s} \\ \hline 1 & p+s+1 & \mathbf{1}_{1\times p} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times r} & \mathbf{1}_{1\times s} \\ \hline \mathbf{1}_{p\times 1} & \mathbf{1}_{p\times 1} & 2\mathbf{I}_{p\times p} & \mathbf{0}_{p\times r} & \mathbf{0}_{p\times s} \\ \hline \mathbf{1}_{r\times 1} & \mathbf{0}_{r\times 1} & \mathbf{0}_{r\times p} & \mathbf{I}_{r\times r} & \mathbf{0}_{r\times s} \\ \hline \mathbf{0}_{s\times 1} & \mathbf{1}_{s\times 1} & \mathbf{0}_{s\times p} & \mathbf{0}_{s\times r} & \mathbf{I}_{s\times s} \end{array}\right).$$

Observe that $\mathbf{x}_j = e_3 - e_j$, for $j = 4, \ldots, p+2$ are eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalue 2 which has multiplicity at least $p-1$. Also, let us define $\mathbf{y}_j = e_{p+3} - e_j$ for each $j = p+4, \ldots, p+r+2$, and $\mathbf{z}_j = e_{p+r+3} - e_j$ for each $j = p+r+4, \ldots, p+r+s+2$. Observe that $\mathbf{y}_j$ and $\mathbf{z}_j$ are eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalue 1 with multiplicity at least $r+s-2$. The others 5 eigenvalues are the same of the reduced matrix

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} p+r+1 & 1 & p & r & 0 \\ 1 & p+s+1 & p & 0 & s \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

The characteristic polynomial of M is given by $f(x,p,r,s) = x^5 + (-s-r-2p-6)x^4 + ((r+p+4)s + (p+4)r + p^2 + 8p + 13)x^3 + ((-2r-2p-5)s + (-2p-5)r - 2p^2 - 14p - 12)x^2 + ((p+2)s + (p+2)r + p^2 + 12p + 4)x - 4p$. Since all eigenvalues of Q are nonnegative, the roots of $f(x,p,r,s)$ are also nonnegative. As $f(0,p,r,s) < 0$, if we take $q_2(G) < y < q_1(G)$, then $f(y,p,r,s) < 0$. This fact will be useful for the proof of the following cases below.

The largest and second largest degree of G are given by $d_1(G) = p+r+1$ and $d_2(G) = p+s+1$, respectively. Using the characteristic polynomial $f(x,p,r,s)$, we prove the following cases:

(i) $p = 1$ and $r = s$: observe that $f(d_1(G) + 3/2, 1, r, s) = -\frac{(6r+25)(4r^2+12r+25)}{32}$ and $f(d_2(G) - 1/2, 1, r, s) = \frac{(2r-1)(20r^2+12r+5)}{32}$. For $r = s \geq 1$, we get $f(d_1(G) + 3/2, 1, r, s) < 0$ and $f(d_2(G) - 1/2, 1, r, s) > 0$. As from Lemma 5, $f(d_1(G)+1, 1, r, s) < 0$ and we get $f(d_1(G)+3/2, 1, r, s) < 0$, so $q_1(G) > d_1(G)+3/2$. Also, from Lemma 6, $f(d_2(G)-1, 1, r, s) > 0$ and we get $f(d_2(G)-1/2, 1, r, s) > 0$, then $q_2(G) > d_2(G) - 1/2$.

(ii) $p \geq 2$ and $r = s$: note that $f(d_1(G) + 2, p, r, r) = -(2r + 3p + 6)(pr - 2r + p^2 + p - 2) < 0$ and also from Lemma 5, $f(d_1(G) + 1, p, r, r) < 0$. So, we can conclude that $q_1(G) > d_1(G) + 2$.

(iii) $p \geq 1$ and $r \geq s+3$: note that $f(d_2(G), p, s+k, s) = ks^3 + (3k-2)ps^2 + ((3k-5)p^2 + (k+2)p - k)s + (k-3)p^3 + (k+1)p^2 > 0$ for $k \geq 3$. Also, from Lemma 6, we get $f(d_2(G)-1, p, s+k, s) > 0$. So, $q_2(G) > d_2(G)$.

(iv) $p \geq 1$ and $r \in \{s+1, s+2\}$: note that $n = 2s + p + r + 2$. Considering first $r = s+1$, we get $f(d_1(G) + 1 + p/(p+2s+4), p, s+2, s) = -(ns + np + p + n/n) < 0$ and $f(d_2(G) - p/(p+2s+$

$4), p, s+2, s) = (2s^2+3ps+3s+p^2+2p)/(p+2s+4)^2 > 0$. Using Lemmas 5 and 6 analogous to the previous cases, we get $q_1(G) > d_1(G)+1+p/n$ and $q_2(G) > d_2(G)-p/n$.

Now, setting $r = s+2$, we get $f(d_1(G)+1+p/(p+2s+4), p, s+2, s) < 0$ and $f(d_2(G)-p/(p+2s+4), p, s+2, s) > 0$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f(d_1(G)+1+p/(p+2s+4), p, s+2, s) = -(32s^8+(208p+512)s^7+(568p^2+2992p+3456)s^6+\\
&+(836p^3+7120p^2+18048p+12800)s^5+(720p^4+8764p^3+36536p^2+59136p+28160)s^4+\\
&+(370p^5+5976p^4+36036p^3+98144p^2+113408p+36864)s^3+\\
&+(110p^6+2240p^5+18104p^4+72468p^3+145280p^2+126720p+26624)s^2+\\
&+(17p^7+420p^6+4326p^5+23576p^4+71008p^3+112000p^2+75776p+8192)s+\\
&+p^8+29p^7+368p^6+2616p^5+11024p^4+26960p^3+34944p^2+18432p)/(32s^5+\\
&+(80p+320)s^4+(80p^2+640p+1280)s^3+\\
&+(40p^3+480p^2+1920p+2560)s^2+(10p^4+160p^3+960p^2+2560p+2560)s+\\
&+p^5+20p^4+160p^3+640p^2+1280p+1024)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f(d_2(G)-p/(p+2s+4), p, s+2, s) = (64s^8+(352p+640)s^7+(840p^2+3136p+2496)s^6+\\
&+(1156p^3+6360p^2+11040p+4480)s^5+(1014p^4+7104p^3+18712p^2+19200p+2560)s^4+\\
&+(581p^5+4814p^4+16220p^3+27048p^2+16640p-3072)s^3+(211p^6+1998p^5+7832p^4+\\
&+17128p^3+20400p^2+6144p-5120)s^2+(44p^7+470p^6+2044p^5+5120p^4+8720p^3+\\
&+8288p^2+512p-2048)s+4p^8+48p^7+228p^6+600p^5\\
&+1200p^4+2016p^3+1728p^2)/(p+2s+4)^5.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemmas 5 and 6 analogously to the previous cases, we get $q_1(G) > d_1(G)+1+p/n$ and $q_2(G) > d_2(G)-p/n$. □

3 Proofs

In this section, we prove the main results of the paper.

Proof of Theorem 3 Let G be a simple connected graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices. Assume that u and v are the vertices with largest and second largest degrees of G, i.e., $d(u) = d_1(G)$ and $d(v) = d_2(G)$. Take H as a subgraph of G containing u and v isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(p,q,r)$ or $\mathcal{G}(p,r,s)$. Note that $d_1(G)+d_2(G) = d_1(H)+d_2(H)$ and from interlace Theorem (see [6]), $q_1(G)+q_2(G) \geq q_1(H)+q_2(H)$.

Firstly, suppose that H is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(p,r,s)$. Since G is connected, the cases $p = 0$ with any r and s are not possible. If $p = 1$ and $r = s = 0$, then $H = \mathcal{H}(1,0,0) = S_3$ and $q_1(H)+q_2(H) = 4 = d_1(H)+d_2(H)+1$. If $p \geq 2$ and $r = s = 0$, then $H = \mathcal{H}(p,0,0) = K_{2,p}$ and $q_1(H)+q_2(H) = 2p+2 > d_1(H)+d_2(H)+1 = 2p+1$. If $p, r \geq 1$ and $s = 0$, from Proposition 8 and Lemma 5, we get $q_1(H)+q_2(H) > d_1(H)+d_2(H)+1$. Now, if $p \geq 1$ and $r \geq s \geq 1$, from Proposition 7 and Lemma 5, follows that $q_1(H)+q_2(H) > d_1(H)+d_2(H)+1$.

Now, suppose that H is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}(p,q,r)$. If $p=s=0$ and $r\geq 1$, $H=\mathcal{G}(0,r,0)=S_{r+2}$ and $q_1(H)+q_2(H)=r+3=d_1(H)+d_2(H)+1$. If $p=0$ and $r,s\geq 1$, the result follows from Proposition 9. If $p=1$ and $r=s=0$, then H is the complete graph K_3 and $q_1(H)+q_2(H)=5=d_1(H)+d_2(H)+1$. If $p\geq 2$ and $r=s=0$, then $H=\mathcal{G}(p,0,0)=K_2\vee\overline{K_p}$, i.e., the complete split graph, and it is well-known that $q_1(H)=(n+2+\sqrt{n^2+4n-12})/2$ and $q_2(H)=n-2$. It is easy to check that for $p\geq 2$, we have $q_1(H)+q_2(H)>d_1(H)+d_2(H)+1$. If $p\geq 1, r\geq 1$ and $s\geq 0$, from the interlacing theorem (see [6]) and the proof to the graph $\mathcal{H}(p,r,s)$, we get $q_1(H)+q_2(H)\geq q_1(\mathcal{H}(p,r,s))+q_2(\mathcal{H}(p,r,s))>d_1(\mathcal{H}(p,r,s))+d_2(\mathcal{H}(p,r,s))+1$ and the result of the theorem follows.

From the cases above, the equality conditions are restricted to the graphs K_3 and S_n and the result follows. □

Proof of Theorem 2 Let G be a simple connected graph on $n\geq 3$ vertices. The result $\mu_1(G)+\mu_2(G)\geq d_1(G)+d_2(G)+1$ follows from Grone in [5]. Now, we need to prove the equality case. Assume that u and v are the vertices with largest and second largest degrees of G, i.e., $d(u)=d_1(G)$ and $d(v)=d_2(G)$. Take H as a subgraph of G containing u and v isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(p,q,r)$ or $\mathcal{G}(p,r,s)$. Note that $d_1(G)+d_2(G)=d_1(H)+d_2(H)$ and from interlace Theorem (see [6]) $\mu_1(G)+\mu_2(G)\geq\mu_1(H)+\mu_2(H)$.

Firstly, suppose that H is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(p,r,s)$. In this case, H is bipartite and $\mu_i(H)=q_i(H)$ for $i=1,\ldots,n$ (see [2]). The proof is analogous to the Theorem 3 and the equality cases are similar, i.e., when $H=S_3$.

Now, suppose that H is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}(p,r,s)$. If $p=1, r=s=0$ then $H=\mathcal{G}(1,0,0)=K_3$ and $6=\mu_1(H)+\mu_2(H)>d_1(H)+d_2(H)+1=5$. If $p\geq 2, r=s=0$, then $2p+4=\mu_1(H)+\mu_2(H)>d_1(H)+d_2(H)+1=2p+1$. The remanning cases are similar to the ones of the Theorem 3 and equality holds when $G=S_n$. □

Acknowledgements: Leonardo de Lima has been supported by CNPq Grant 305867/2012-1 and FAPERJ Grant 102.218/2013 and Carla Oliveira has also been supported by CNPq Grant 305454/2012-9.

References

[1] F. Ashraf, G.R. Omidi, B. Tayfeh-Rezaie. On the sum of signless Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 438:4539–4546, 2013.

[2] D. Cvetkovic, P. Rowlinson and S. Simic, Eigenvalue bounds for the signless Laplacian, *Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd* **81(95)** (2007), 11–27.

[3] K. C. Das, On conjectures involving second Largest signless Laplacian eigenvalue of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **432** (2010), 3018–3029.

[4] R. Grone and R. Merris, The Laplacian spectrum of a graph. II. SIAM J. Discrete Math., 7(2)(1994) 221-229.

[5] R. Grone, Eigenvalues and degree sequences of graphs, Lin. Multilin. Alg. 39 (1995) 133–136.

[6] R. Horn and C. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis,* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985, xiii+561 pp.

[7] S. Li and Y. Tian, Some results on the bounds of signless Laplacian eigenvalues, Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc. (2), to appear.

[8] C. S. Oliveira, L. de Lima, P. Rama, P. Carvalho, Extremal graphs for the sum of the two largest signless Laplacian eigenvalues, submitted to Eletronic Journal of Linear Algebra (2013), available at: arxiv.org $arXiv$: 1310.8559.

[9] I. Schur, Uber eine Klasse von Mittelbildungen mit Anwendungen auf die Determinantentheorie, Sitzungsber. Berliner Math. Ges. 22 (1923) 9-20, (p. 35).